

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/710,356	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SHAUGHNESSY, STEVEN T.	
	<b>Examiner</b> AARON SANDERS	<b>Art Unit</b> 2168	

**All Participants:**

(1) Aaron Sanders.

(2) Stephen Chang.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 29 April 2010

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*35 U.S.C. 103*

Claims discussed:

*1 and 44*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The examiner proposed an examiner's amendment to put the claims in condition for allowance. Applicant's representative authorized the examiner to make the amendment.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Tim T. Vo/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2168

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)